Possible Climate Change Actions Plan (71 possible actions)

(* = underway) 4/22/19 Draft

	ΤΟΡΙϹ	ISSUES	SUSCEPTIBLE TO PC INFLUENCE: Mitigation	SUSCEPTIBLE TO PC INFLUENCE: Adaptation	RANGE OF PC ACTION POSSIBILITES? (<u>Mitigate</u> extent of impacts; <u>Adapt</u> to the impacts that will come)
EX	TREME HEAT				
a.	Ecosystem change	Invasive species	Very Little	Some	 ADAPT: Create management feasibility and timeliness for minimizing invasions MITIGATE: Mandate clustering to reduce conversion of Pinelands to non- Pinelands (stormwater basins are incubators)* ADAPT: Work with NJ DEP to control*
		T & E habitat loss	Very Little	Some	 ADAPT: Prioritize open space that serves as migration corridors* ADAPT: Permit species relocation ADAPT: Link up preserved lands to create N-S migration corridors*
		Loss of Pitch Pines	Very Little	Some	1. ADAPT: Plan for alternative evergreens that take advantage of the shifting ranges of North America pines? Virginia or Shortleaf pine?
a.	Agricultural viability threats	Droughts. Loss of colder weather crops like Cranberries. Evaporation water losses.	Very Little	Some	 ADAPT: Plan for and permit alternative wetland crops? Assist research into crop adaptation. ADAPT: Plan for more and/or control agricultural and landscaping irrigation?
b.	Increased energy demand for cooling		Some	Some	 MITIGATE: Adopt LEEDS or other energy building standards and fund with density bonuses MITIGATE: Incentives for greater Insulation in new development MITIGATE: Incentives for Solar* and wind in new development ADAPT: Plan for micro-grids*, community solar, and localized grid overloads MITIGATE: Reduce tree removal in new development ADAPT: Passive solar design - Orient house /overhangs in new development MITIGATE Incentives for energy audits and retrofits

TOPIC	ISSUES	SUSCEPTIBLE TO	SUSCEPTIBLE TO	RANGE OF PC ACTION POSSIBILITES?			
		PC INFLUENCE:	PC INFLUENCE:	(<u>Mitigate</u> extent of impacts; <u>Adapt</u> to the impacts that will come)			
		Mitigation	Adaptation				
EXTREME WEATHER EVENTS: STORMS AND DROUGHTS							
c. More big storms	More runoff, less recharge More standing water – mosquitoes, ticks Loss of power grid	Very little	Some	 ADAPT: Require bio-retention basins or other means to capture overflow ADAPT: Permit wetland buffer use for big storm retention ADAPT: Re-think stormwater management (more rain, bigger storms, source for non-natives, etc.) ADAPT: Mandate underground electric (better reliability) ADAPT: Utilize flood plains as retention facilities ADAPT: Utilize permeable pavements to store and recharge excess stormwater ADAPT: Consider incentives for projects that store more stormwater than that required. ADAPT: Require green infrastructure techniques not already required, e.g. rain gardens, and green roofs ADAPT: permit stormwater facilities in RDA to serve adjacent develop areas 			
d. More droughts	More stress on K/C? Other aquifers? Dropping low stream flows?	Very little	Some	 ADAPT: Specify and mandate non-K/C water supply alternatives* ADAPT: Study and incentivize aquifer storage (ASR) ADAPT: Minimize non-drought resistant landscaping* ADAPT; require more water conservation efforts* ADAPT: Create water markets – transferring land and water from agricultural to community use ADAPT: Work with farmers to ensure water access during droughts ADAPT: work with small water purveyors to ensure supplies 			
	More frequent and bigger forest fires	Very Little	Some	 ADAPT: Re-institute Fire-wise programs ADAPT: Encourage more controlled burns ADAPT: Re-examine and re-invigorate fire buffer requirements 			

TOPIC	ISSUES	SUSCEPTIBLE TO	SUSCEPTIBLE TO	RANGE OF PC ACTION POSSIBILITES?
		PC INFLUENCE:	PC INFLUENCE:	(<u>Mitigate</u> extent of impacts; <u>Adapt</u> to the impacts that will come)
		Mitigation	Adaptation	
				4. ADAPT: Coordinate with DCA to revise building standards for fire resistance (7:50-6.125)
	Dropping water table (dshwt) will impact wells, wetlands and expand areas where septics may go	Very Little	Some	 ADAPT: Require deeper personal wells (<100') MITIGATE: Change wetlands buffer standard, dshwt, or zoning to "freeze" current development levels?

	ΤΟΡΙϹ	ISSUES	SUSCEPTIBLE TO PC INFLUENCE: Mitigation	SUSCEPTIBLE TO PC INFLUENCE: Adaptation	RANGE OF PC ACTION POSSIBILITES? (<u>Mitigate</u> extent of impacts; <u>Adapt</u> to the impacts that will come)
CA	RBON SINK		-	-	
f.	Maintenance/enhancement of Carbon Sink	Loss of places to sequester excess carbon	Some		 MITIGATE: Adopt tree replacement requirement, e.g. like State has for development (net loss equal zero) MITIGATE: Encourage conversion of unused farm fields and mines to forests MITIGATE: Minimize turf/maximize tree cover MITIGATE: Green roofs (also addresses stormwater management) MITIGATE: Mandate clustering and preserve forests
RIS	SING SEA LEVEL	-	•	•	
g.	Sea level rise in general	Overall temperature impacts from CO₂	Very Little (leadership?)		 MITIGATE: Provide more incentives and/or mandate for solar (e.g., rooftops, parking lots) MITIGATE: Permit wind as accessory (little opportunity in Pines for larger opportunities) MITIGATE: Permit bio solids in appropriate management areas MITIGATE: Incentivize retrofits
h.	Sea level rise impacts (1)	Wetlands loss from inundation	Very Little	Some	 ADAPT: Analyze loss from 1', 3', 6' sea level rise ADAPT: Require larger buffers for new development in areas subject to sea level rise (tidal water like the Mullica's) ADAPT: Permit existing development to construct protection in existing buffer areas.
i.	Sea level rise impacts (2)	Greater coastal flooding. Relocation from Coastal Areas?	Very Little	No direct, just relief	 ADAPT: Implement new PDC rules allowing higher densities* MITIGATE: Plan for transmission from wind power*
j.	Sea level rise impacts (3)	Infrastructure Flooding: subsequent loss of service		Some	1. ADAPT: Identify key infrastructure that may be prone to coastal flooding in the Pinelands

	ΤΟΡΙϹ	ISSUES	SUSCEPTIBLE TO	SUSCEPTIBLE TO		RANGE OF PC ACTION POSSIBILITES?		
			PC INFLUENCE:	PC INFLUENCE:	(<u>Mitigate</u> extent of impacts; <u>Adapt</u> to the impacts that will come)		
			Mitigation	Adaptation				
EN	ENVIRONMENTAL LEADERSHIP POLICIES (By Example)							
k.	Direct PC investments	Solar, energy audit	Minimal tangible		1.	MITIGATE: Energy Audit and Retrofit PC Headquarters		
			impact, larger		2.	MITIGATE: All vehicles electric		
			impact as an		3.	MITIGATE: Solar on roof and parking lot		
			example					
١.	New Carbon	Discourage	Minimal tangible		1.	MITIGATE: Require full alternatives analysis with choice of least		
	Infrastructure		impact, larger			impact alternative rerouting, greenhouse gases, etc.		
			impact as an		2.	MITIGATE: Require PDCs to offset impacts		
			example		3.	MITIGATE: Discourage new carbon-based infrastructure		
m.	Stranded Assets	Removal after non-	Minimal but		1.	MITIGATE: Require removal after 1 year of non-use (e.g., like		
		use	wiser future use			solar, cell towers)		
n.	PC Research Agenda		n/a	Some	1.	ADAPT: Establish Early warning system: invasive species		
					2.	ADAPT: Apply climate change models to Pinelands sub-regions		
					3.	ADAPT: Conduct periodic climate change seminars		
					4.	ADAPT: Monitor ground water levels vis a vis climate change		
0.	State action		Little		1.	MITIGATE: Encourage establish/continuation of incentives for		
						both private and public development and retrofits		
						i. Solar		
						ii. Wind		
						iii. Geothermal		
p.	Showcase BMPs	Ensuring adequate	m/a	Medium with	1.	Highlight Stormwater BMPs		
		knowledge of		adequate	2.	Highlight Utility BMPs		
1		important tactics		partners				